### Absorption-Based Size-Specific Primary Productivity Algorithm for the River-Influenced Northern Gulf of Mexico

### Steven E. Lohrenz<sup>1</sup>, Sumit Chakraborty<sup>2</sup>, Kjell Gundersen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School for Marine Science and Technology, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, New Bedford, MA 02744, U.S.A. (<u>slohrenz@umassd.edu</u>)

<sup>2</sup>Mote Marine Laboratory, Sarasota, FL 34236, U.S.A. (<u>schakraborty@mote.org</u>) <sup>3</sup>Institute of Marine Research (IMR), PO Box 1870 Nordnes, NO-5817 Bergen, Norway

(kjell.gundersen@hi.no)

- Introduction
  - Brief overview of study area and data
- Approach
  - The productivity algorithm
  - Model inputs

- Results and Conclusions
  - Preliminary evaluation of model performance
- Knowledge Gaps and Priorities

## Introduction – Study Area and Data

• Large river system associated with the Mississippi and Atchafalaya rivers and one of the largest signals for carbon cycling in the North American continent



- Global PP models may not be able to realistically simulate regional conditions
- Here, we examine a regional absorptionbased and size-specific productivity algorithm that can be implemented using satellite-retrievable observations
- Cruise conducted in April 2009
- Stations included coastal, mid-shelf and slope waters
- Dataset included:
  - -P-E measurements
  - -Quantitative filterpad
  - -Hyperspectral irradiance profiles

### Algorithm – Absorption-based and size-specific

• 
$$P(z,t) = \bar{a}_{ph} P_{max}^{a_{ph}} \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{a_{ph}(440)\phi_{max}^{C}PUR(z,t)}{\bar{a}_{ph}P_{max}^{a_{ph}}}\right) \right] (mol \ C \ m^{-3} \ h^{-1})$$

•  $PUR(z,t) = \int_{PAR} \hat{a}_{ph}(\lambda) E_d(z,\lambda,t) d\lambda$  where  $\hat{a}_{ph}(\lambda) = a_{ph}(\lambda) / a_{ph}(440)$ 

• 
$$\bar{a}_{ph} = \frac{\int_{400}^{700} a_{ph}(\lambda) E_d(z,\lambda,t) d\lambda}{\int_{400}^{700} E_d(z,\lambda,t) d\lambda}$$
 where  $a_{ph}(\lambda) = \hat{a}_{ph}(\lambda) a_{ph}(440) (m^{-1})$ 

- $\phi_{max}^{C} = 0.345aph\_slope^{2} + 0.195aph\_slope + 0.017 (mol C (mol quanta)^{-1})$ where  $aph\_slope = \frac{[a_{ph}(443) - a_{ph}(510)]}{510 - 443} (m^{-1} nm^{-1})$
- $P_{max}^{a_{ph}} = Chl \cdot P_{max}^{B} / \bar{a}_{ph} = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} e^{0.306(T)} (mol \ C \ m^{-2} h^{-1})$

•  $IP = \int_0^{DL} \int_0^D P(z,t) dz dt$  (mol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) where DL is daylength and D is water column depth

#### Absorption shape vectors and $a_{ph}(440)$

### **Model Inputs**



Photophysiological parameters

## **Results and Conclusions**

- Preliminary demonstration of model shows it is able to represent order of magnitude variations in productivity from nearshore to slope waters
- Largest deviations were in near surface waters, where sensitivity to maximum photosynthetic rate estimates were highest
- Positive bias in model for slope and mid-shelf and negative for coastal



PP(z)\_φ = model PP(z)\_std = measured



# Knowledge gaps and priorities

- Knowledge gaps
  - Large source of uncertainty in absorption-based models in the maximum photosynthetic rate parameter
  - Need for additional in situ measurements to better characterize PP parameters
- Priorities
  - 1 year
    - Expand analysis to include datasets from other seasons and conditions to provide more comprehensive representation of photophysiological parameters
    - Evaluate performance of model using satellite-retrieved parameters ( $a_{ph}(440)$ ,  $aph_slope$ ,  $K_d$ , and T)
  - 5 year
    - Apply algorithm to emerging hyperspectral sensors (PACE, GLIMR, SBG)
    - Compare model performance to other formulations including global algorithms
  - 10 year
    - Explore performance and suitability of algorithm in other regions, and potential "nesting" of models