Evolution of Coccolithophores bloom dynamics in the North Sea: observations from Remote Sensing, Continuous Plankton Recorder and FerryBoxes

Katharina Kordubel'; Burkard Baschek²; Shun Bi'; Martin Hieronymi'; Klas O Möller'; Yoana G Voynova' 1. Helmholtz Zentrum hereon, Geesthacht (Germany) 2. German Oceanographic Museum, Stralsund (Germany)

Introduction

Coccolithophores occupy a major role in the marine carbon cycle through production and export of calcite plates, contributing to particulate inorganic carbon (PIC) cycling in the open ocean¹. When shed, they cause strong light scattering². Coccolithophores can form massive blooms covering thousands of square kilometers, which appear bright turquoise in contrast to the ocean and are therefore reasonably well detectable with ocean color imagery³. The most abundant Coccolithophore species globally and in the North Sea, is *Emiliana huxleyi*, with three different morphotypes of varying calcite production rates⁴. Even though *E. huxleyi* is a tolerant species, models predict a general decrease in occurrence under future climate change conditions⁵, including increased temperatures and ocean acidification. The aim of this study is to understand recent dynamics of Coccolithophores in the North Sea, to identify bloom drivers and to assess the impact on the carbon cycle.



Methodology

Analysis and correlation of:

- Continuous Plankton Recorder time-series (1993-2018)
- Satellite images (Sentinel-3 OLCI)
- FerryBox data (temperature, salinity, pCO₂)



Results Abundance

Significant increase in Coccolithophore abundance in the entire North Sea, particularly in the northern regions

20-fold increase in abundance between the 1990s and 2010s



Detection through Remote Sensing

Significantly different Rrs spectrum in comparison to diatoms (Fig. 3)

Different spectra obtained for different bloom stages: live cells (Coccolithophores) and deteriorated cells (Coccoliths) (Fig. 3)

Clear difference in scattering and attenuation when compared to other phytoplankton groups (Fig. 4)

Detection through Remote Sensing possible

Bloom timing

Sea

Coccolithophores bloom every year in the North Sea with highest abundance between June and July

Bloom peak shifted from June to July since the 2010s in the central and southern North Sea

Longer bloom period especially in the southern North



Figure 4: Inherent optical properties of Phytoplankton Functional Types (PFTs)



Compatibilty of data

High abundances (CPR data) correspond to intense bloom events (Sentinel-3 OLCI) Good geographical and temporal overlap among datasets (CPR data, FerryBox data, satellite images)



Conclusion

Our results indicate trends of increasing abundance and potential influence of Coccolithophores on the carbon cycle in a shallow shelf sea. The high spatial and temporal resolution of our observations can help identify the variables leading to those changes and improve the understanding of coastal systems. This can enhance predictions of the impact that Coccolithophore blooms will have on the carbon cycle and ecosystem dynamics.

sampling routes (1993-2018) and abundances of Coccolithophores, b.-d.

a. CPR

Figure 5:

Coccolithophore blooms recorded by Sentinel-3 OLCI (06.2016, 06.2017, 05.2018), e. FerryBox measurements : salinity, pCO_2 and temperature (2014-2018)

Knowledge gaps

Drivers

- What lead to this significant increase in Coccolithophore abundance in the North Sea?
- Which environmental variables may have contributed ٠ to this change especially between the 1990s and 2000s?

Impact

How is this increase in abundance affecting the Carbon cycle and productivity today and in the future?

Adaptability

- Has there been a change of E. huxleyi morphotype over time in the North Sea?
- Has there been a shift of Coccolithophore species in the ٠ North Sea?

Sampling

References

- Combination of localized in situ data collection with autonomous large-scale data collection (FerryBox, Sentinel-3 OLCI)
 - Further collaboration with CPR work



 Assessment of localized and large-scale influence on the carbon cycle

Aknowledgments

Ocean. 116(8):1-14 ²Roger Loveday B, Smyth T. 2018. A 40-year global data set of visible-channel remote-sensing reflectances and coccolithophore bloom occurrence derived from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer catalogue. Earth Syst. Sci. Data. 10(4):2043-54 ³Holligan PM, Viollier M, Harbour DS, Camus P, Champagne-Philippe M. 1983. Satellite and ship studies of coccolithophore production along a continental shelf edge. Nature. 304:339–342

Balch WM, Drapeau DT, Bowler BC, Lyczskowski E, Booth ES, Alley D. 2011. The contribution of coccolithophores to the optical and inorganic carbon budgets during the Southern Ocean Gas Exchange Experiment: New evidence in support of the Great Calcite Belt hypothesis. J. Geophys. Res.

*Holligan PM, Fernandez E, Aiken J, Balch WM, Boyd P, et al. 1993. A biogeochemical study of the Coccolithophore, Emiliana huxleyi, in the North Atlantic. Global Biogeochem. Cycles. 7(4):879-900

*Feng Y, Roleda MY, Armstrong E, Summerfield TC, Law CS, et al. 2020. Effects of multiple drivers of ocean global change on the physiology and functional gene expression of the coccolithophore Emiliania huxleyi. Glob. Chang. Biol. 26(10):5630-45

David Johns – CPR data

Bastian Robran – Satellite images

Next steps